

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,582	03/25/2004	Roy Feigel	AUS920030881US1 7124	
45327 IBM CORPOR	7590 07/26/2007 ATION (CS)		EXAMINER	
C/O CARR LLP			MA, CALVIN	
670 FOUNDER 900 JACKSON	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX	DALLAS, TX 75202		2629	
				-
	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/809,582	FEIGEL ET AL.				
merrien dammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Calvin Ma	2629				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Calvin Ma</u> .	(3)					
(2) Daryl R. Right.	(4)					
Date of Interview: 19 July 2007.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>19</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Apple</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
-80	•					
	•					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

**Interview Summary** 

Paper No. 20070403

Examiner's signature, if required

Application No. 10/809,582

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the proposed amendment; applicant's representative, stated that the prior art Apple et al. '398 does not teach dynamic segments because the scroll bar on the top of the video wall in Apple has a constant size, is therefore "static". The examiner disagreed, stating that the said video wall in Fig. 32 also has a video conferencing area and larger area toward the center that is dedicated to time sensitive breaking information, this means that the video wall of Apple has dynamic display segments when considered as a whole system; as the video image can be transferred to the bigger area. The proposed amendment is still broad enough to read on Apple.